

9. 2006 5:16PM DLA PIPER

OCT 09 2006

NO. 288 P. 1

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2137

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit	: 2137	Customer No.: 035811
Examiner	: Paul Callahan	
Serial No.	: 09/701,157	
Filed	: November 22, 2000	
Inventors	: George Friedman	Docket No.: 1206-PCT-US-00
	: Carlos A. Murdock	
	: Robert P. Starek	Confirmation No.: 7408
Title	: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR	
	: PROVIDING DATA SECURITY	Dated: October 9, 2006

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Certificate of Facsimile

For

Request for Reconsideration

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Name of Applicant, Assignee, Applicant's Attorney
or Registered Representative:

DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP
Customer No. 35811

By: Nancy Nines

Date: 10/9/06

PHIL1\9786956.1

9. 2006 5:16PM

DLA PIPER

OCT 09 2006

NO. 288 P. 2

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2137

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit	: 2137	Customer No.:	035811
Examiner	: Paul Callahan		
Serial No.	: 09/701,157		
Filed	: November 22, 2000		
Inventors	: George Friedman	Docket No.:	1206-PCT-US-00
	: Carlos A. Murdock		
	: Robert P. Starek	Confirmation No.:	7408
Title	: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR		
	: PROVIDING DATA SECURITY	Dated:	October 9, 2006

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the September 8, 2006 Office Action, the Applicants provide the following. The Examiner is thanked for granting a telephone interview with the Applicants' representative on October 6, 2006. In accordance with the interview, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Applicants' June 15, 2006 Response in traverse of the references cited against the claims currently pending in the present application. In particular, the Applicants' respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the (lack of) applicability of Saito (U.S. Patent No. 5,848,158) to the claims of the present application. As discussed during the interview, Saito discloses a 'plain text copyright label', which feature is cited for disclosing bundling one or more permissions together with data for delivery to a user. The 'plain text copyright label' of Saito, however, neither constitutes permissions nor is it bundled together with data and sent to a user. To the contrary, the plain text copyright label is a request for permissions initiated by a user and transmitted to a key control center (sometime after the user has already received the data). It is only after this request (i.e., plain text copyright label) is processed by the control center that the one or more permissions

PHIL:\9788256.1